WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	,
١	/

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

			••		01122110	
Shawn Michael Cole			_ Ca	se Number:	R 08-102-PHX-SRB	
	cordance stablishe		e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	` '	ion hearing ha	s been held. I conclude that the following facts
	•		convincing evidence the defend n this case.	lant is a danger	to the commu	nity and require the detention of the defendant
	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial this case.					
			PAF	RT I FINDING	S OF FACT	
	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe the	nat the defendar	nt has committe	ed
			an offense for which a maxin 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 40	num term of imp 6 U.S.C. App. §	orisonment of to 1901 et seq.	en years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§
			an offense under 18 U.S.C. §	§§ 924(c), 956(a	a), or 2332(b).	
			an offense listed in 18 U.S.C imprisonment of ten years or	. § 2332b(g)(5)(r more is prescri	B) (Federal cri bed.	mes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of
			an offense involving a minor	victim prescribe	d in	1
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination or conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.				
				Alternative Fig	ndings	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assuthe appearance of the defendant as required.				
\boxtimes	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intin a prospective witness or juror).				obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate
	(4)					
			PART II WRITTEN S	TATEMENT OF heck one or both, as		OR DETENTION
	(1)	as to In ligh provio the m	danger that: nt of the extremely brazen nature de for the safety of the communit	e of the alleged of ty, it is the Court	conduct and the 's belief, based	ering establish by clear and convincing evidence establish by clear and convincing evidence established establishe

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnapping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 2:08-cr-00102-SRB Document 19 Filed 02/19/08 Page 2 of 2

X	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:					
	×	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.					
	×	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.					
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of					
×	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: Defendant submitted the issue of detention.						
	In addition:						
ime of		ourt incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the ring in this matter. PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION					
appeal. of the U	ctions fa The de Inited St	fendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in cility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending fendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour ates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.					
		PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE					
deliver a		RDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to f the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric					
	s suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria ently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and potential third party custodian.					
	DATED	this 15 th day of February, 2008.					

Page 2 of 2

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge